

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 52008 of 2018

Date of decision : 29.11.2018

Bhim Singh Chhibber

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Bhim Singh has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of interim bail for seven days to him in case FIR No.142 dated 27.11.2016 under Sections 307, 392, 223, 224, 120-B, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 170, 171, 353, 419, 186 IPC, Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20 4AP, 22, 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 added later on) registered at Police Station – Kotwali, Nabha, Distt. Patiala, to attend the marriage of his nephew Manpreet Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier also interim bail was granted to the petitioner to attend the marriage of his

daughter and that concession was never misused by the petitioner. Presence of the petitioner is required for attending the function and making arrangements in the marriage of his nephew, which is to be solemnized on 02.12.2018.

The factum of marriage has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sadha Singh.

No doubt, the petitioner was granted interim bail on the occasion of marriage of his daughter, where his presence was required. Accordingly, keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and by considering the seriousness of allegations against him, the petitioner is allowed to attend the marriage of his nephew in police custody. The jail authorities are directed to make necessary arrangements to take the petitioner for attending the marriage of his nephew Manpreet Singh, while in police custody. It is also made clear that he is allowed to attend the function of marriage only for two days *i.e.* one day prior to the marriage and on the date of the marriage.

Disposed of.

29.11.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No