

120.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5920-2017

Date of decision:06.09.2018.

KRISHAN @ VICKY

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of observations made by this Court vide order dated 27.09.2017, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition so as to challenge the charge by filing a revision petition before the Sessions Court. However, he submits that the revisionary court should consider the prayer of the petitioner and should not dismiss the revision petition on the ground of limitation alone.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Since the petition remained pending before this Court, prayer of the petitioner is accepted to the extent that in case the petitioner prefers revision petition against the order of framing charge within 15 days from today, the revision petition shall not be dismissed by the learned Sessions Court or the Additional Sessions Court merely on the ground of limitation.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

06.09.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No