

Joginder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

It is contended on behalf of the petitioners that they are being harassed on account of false complaint having been made by their daughter-in-law (respondent No. 5). Despite representation dated 01.11.2018 (Annexure P-3), having been made, the petitioners have not been granted any relief.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 5.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 01.11.2018 (Annexure P-3) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 27, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**