

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.51936-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.12.2018

Devinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab
Mr.A.S.Barnala, Advocate for the complainant

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Bahadar Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Police has verified the facts. Petitioner has disclosed that Rs.3,50,000/- have been spent by him. Learned State counsel further states that the petitioner is no longer required by the police. Police has no objection to grant of anticipatory bail.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the anticipatory bail on the ground that money is yet to be recovered and that the complainant has been cheated.

I am of the view that if it is alleged that the crime is committed, the petitioner will face consequences for the same.

Since, the petitioner is no longer required by the police and police has no objection to the grant of anticipatory bail, interim bail granted

on 27.11.2018 is made absolute.

Petition is allowed accordingly.

17.12.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No