

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-51900-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:04.12.2018

Soumya and another

...Petitioners

Versus

U.T.Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr.Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashmia Mor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Raman K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, apprised that the petitioners have entered into a marriage against the wishes of the parents of both the petitioners. It is further apprised that possibility of threat cannot be ruled out.

Without entering into the validity and sanctity of the alleged marriage, there appears to be existence of threat to the petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it would be appropriate to relegate the petitioners to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, who shall look into representation dated 21.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) and may further satisfy himself regarding the existence and continuance of threat after examining the petitioners and appropriate decision shall be taken to provide security to the petitioners. The Senior

Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh shall also explore the possibility of

reconciliation between the petitioners and their respective parents/relatives.

This order shall not stand as an impediment in case any FIR/complaint is registered or pending against the parties.

Disposed off.

04.12.2018

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No