

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.204

CRM-M-5178-2018
Date of decision : 23.03.2018

Sakir and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.215 dated 05.09.2017, registered at Police Station Nagina, District Mewat, under Sections 379-B, 392, 397 and 420 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

It is not in dispute that pursuant to order dated 08.02.2018, the petitioners have joined investigation. However, learned State counsel has submitted that they are accused of having looted Rs.2 lacs in cash, ATM card, aadhar card, Canteen card, bank pass-book, PAN card, mobile phone and wallet of the complainant. The said articles have not yet been recovered. Apart from that, the petitioners are alleged to be part of a gang and there is one more case of similar nature registered against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that apart from the allegations made in the FIR, there is no material to show that the petitioners have committed the act complained of and that the petitioners are joined investigation and cooperating therewith and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in custody.

Having considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that the petitioners do not deserve the concession of

anticipatory bail. They are accused in a case of similar nature and thus, appear to be a part of a gang indulging in looting the innocent people. Recoveries have yet to be effected from them and therefore, their custodial interrogation is necessary.

For the reasons stated above, the petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

23.03.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No