IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51562-2018
Date of Decision: 22.11.2018

Satpal @ Satti

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S.Nigha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.264 dated 27.10.2018, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, Baksho wife of Chanchal, brother of complainant, had eloped with the present petitioner 17-18 days back. It is also alleged that the matter was reported to the police vide diary No.1377 dated 25.10.2018. It is also mentioned in the FIR that the present petitioner had used to come in the village in evening. On 24.10.2018, the present petitioner had given beatings to Chanchal. On 26.10.2018, Chanchal had consumed some poisonous substance.

PARVEEN KUMAR
2018.11.26 17:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

The present petitioner is named in the FIR and all the main allegations are levelled against him.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

22.11.2018 **(INDERJIT SINGH)**
parveen kumar **JUDGE**

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

PARVEEN KUMAR
2018.11.26 17:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document