

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5130-2018
Date of decision : 16.03.2018

Arun Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Jagjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 08.02.2018, a reply by way of an affidavit of Sh.Jashandeep Singh Randhawa, Superintendent of Police, Karnal, has been filed in Court today by learned State counsel, copy of which has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the affidavit of the SP, even the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gharaunda, has recommended that the petitioner be provided with security, as he is possibly under threat from those against whom he has made a complaint. Therefore, the SP has stated that the petitioner can be provided a paid gunman for a limited period, till the threat perception is reviewed after three months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he is not in a position to pay for the security, all that he is asking for at this stage, is that he be provided security till the time he can remove the shuttering in his house which has just been constructed, after which he would in fact sell the

In view of the fact that the petitioner is not able to make any payment for any gunman, it is directed that he be temporarily provided armed security to ensure that he is able to enter in his house in the village to remove the shuttering and to try and sell the house.

The said security be provided to him for a period of 3 months by the SP, Karnal, after which the threat perception may be reviewed.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 16, 2018.

Davinder Kumar/dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No