

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50076 of 2017

Date of Decision: 12.01.2018

CHANDER MOHAN SETHI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that against the same very cheque, complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act has also been filed by the complainant and he is still ready to join investigation.

The above factual position is not disputed by opposite side. Consequently, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. failing which he shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to him.

In view of the above, the instant petition stands disposed of.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE**

January 12, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no