

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.50025 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.05.2018**

Jasbir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Paramjit Singh Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Raminder Gill, Advocate for
Mr. J.S.Toor, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh for the respondent.

Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.299 dated 07.10.2017, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 28.12.2017, passed the following order:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Agreement to Sell which is the basis for registration of the FIR was executed wayback on 02-11-2011 and the present FIR is registered after a period of more than six years i.e. on 07.10.2017.

Notice of motion for 08.02.2018.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

On 12.03.2018, this Court passed the following order:-

“ It has been pointed out by learned counsel for Union Territory, Chandigarh that although the petitioner has joined the

investigation, but he is not cooperating with the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has stated that there is likelihood of compromise.

In view of above, the petitioner as well as complainant are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on 27.03.2017 and the report be submitted to this Court on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 01.05.2018.

Interim order to continue. ”

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the same is duly acknowledged by learned Counsel for the complainant.

In view of above, the interim order dated 28.12.2017 of this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

May 01, 2018

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No