

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRR-2984-2013

Date of Decision: September 21, 2018

Ram Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed against judgment dated 08.04.2013 whereby appeal against judgment of conviction dated 28.04.2011 and order of sentence of even date was dismissed. Vide the judgment dated 08.04.2013 the conviction was maintained but the sentence was modified and the appellants therein were directed to be released on probation for six months. The present petition has been preferred by the complainant.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that the sentence imposed upon them has already been completed and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to dispute the aforementioned statement.

In view of the above the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

September 21, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE