

CRM-M-50706-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50706-2018

Date of Decision: 22.11.2018

Mufidan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 in FIR No.302 dated 22.05.2018, registered at Police Station Nuh, District Nuh, under Sections 323, 452, 379-A, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that respondents No.2 and 3 have been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail in the aforesaid FIR by learned Sessions Judge, Mewat, vide order dated 01.09.2018. Perusal of record shows that respondent No.2-Rukshina is the first wife of Jamshed and injuries alleged to have been given by kick and fist blows to Mufidan, who

CRM-M-50706-2018

is second wife of Jamshed. There is also allegation that *Hasali* weighing 15 grams has also been snatched. Respondents No.2 and 3 were not armed with any weapon and only kick and fist blows have been given in the house of husband of Rukshina.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also argued that respondents No.2 and 3 are also giving further threats to the complainant.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the record, I do not find any ground to cancel the bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3, vide order dated order dated 01.09.2018. The order dated 01.09.2018 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Mewat is correct one.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

22.11.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No