

216.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49772-2017

Date of Decision:12.01.2018

Amanvir Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Bipan Ghai, Sr.Advocate, with
Mr.Kanwarbir S.Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Kashmiri Lal.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Mr.Ghai, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that the offence punishable under Section 25 of the Arms Act has been foisted upon the petitioner to try and strengthen the case of the respondents as regards the offence punishable under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, with no shots ever having been actually fired by the petitioner.

On query to learned State counsel as to whether the projectiles from the bullets alleged to have been fired by the petitioner from his .32 revolver were recovered from the door and bumper of the car which he is stated to have hit, she on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist her, submits that the projectiles were not recovered, though the revolver and the empty shells inside it were recovered.

In the aforesaid circumstances, with no projectile having been recovered, without making any further comment on whether or not any shots

were actually fired, with no other criminal case stated to have been registered against the petitioner, as per the custody certificate filed by learned State counsel in Court today, I consider it appropriate to admit him to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

January 12, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO