

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-49769 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 16, 2018

Akram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.542 dated 20.09.2017, under Sections 354, 365, 506, 279, 338 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City, Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 07.10.2017. It is submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 07.10.2017 and that investigation is complete, as the challan has already been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

February 16, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No