

264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.49764 of 2017
Date of Decision: 28.02.2018**

Babra Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Thind, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners were nominated as one of the accused in FIR No.89 dated 28.08.2017 registered under Sections 436, 427, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Sections 3/4/5 of Prevention of Damage of Public Property act 1984/2014 and Sections 3 and 4 of the Explosive Substance Act at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur.

FIR was registered against unknown persons regarding the incident of fire in the PSPCL Office. When the complainant came to the office on 28.08.2017, the factum of some

miscreants having thrown patrol bombs and damage the property came to fore. Patrol bombs were allegedly thrown from the backside. Seven patrol bombs were recovered. Computer, printer, curtains of the office and furniture were damaged. The complicity of the petitioners and others came to be known only on the disclosure statement of Gurjant Singh, who is clerk in PSPCL office.

Co-accused have already granted regular bail on 15.02.2018 in CRM-M No.48035 of 2017 titled Satgur Singh Vs. state of Punjab, CRM-M No.46184 of 2017 titled Harmesh Singh and another Vs. State of Punjab, CRM-M No.47090 of 2017 titled Lachman Singh Vs. State of Punjab and CRM-M No.48057 of 2017 titled Jaspal Singh Vs. State of Punjab. Investigation in the case is complete as challan has already been presented. Charges have not been framed so far.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, at this stage keeping in view the custody of the petitioners since more than 5 months, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

February 28, 2018.
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No