

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**CRM-M No. 49735 of 2017
Date of Decision:-12.03.2018**

Partap Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Suresh Kumar could not deny that as regard one of the two FIRs registered against the petitioner, again he was arrested on the same date that he was arrested in connection with the FIR in question, on the disclosure statement of a co-accused; though in the third FIR registered against him he has been named by the complainant, the offence being one punishable under Section 354-A IPC.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is shown to have been arrested in the present FIR as also in the other one on the same day, on the alleged disclosure statement made by a co-accused, with (in the present case) only 05 prosecution witnesses out of 23 having been examined so far, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, he already stated to have been in custody for more than eight months, on his furnishing

The petition stands allowed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No