

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-5064 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.02.2018

Danny

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ripudaman, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Chhaju Ram.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 17 dated 10.02.2017, registered under Sections 354(A)(1), 354 (B), 365, 506, 511 read with Section 34 of IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes & the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Women Police Station, Palwal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the interregnum period, the complainant and other material witnesses have been examined. The petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application, however, he duly acknowledges the assertion raised on behalf of the petitioner.

Heard.

The long incarceration and the examination of the prosecutrix and the fact that co-accused has been allowed bail are the circumstances in favour of the petitioner, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.02.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No