

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49708-2017

Date of Decision:12.01.2018

Sarita Rani

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Kuldeep Singh, PS. City South (Moga)

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner points first to the fact that even as per the report of the chemical examiner, no poison was detected in the gastric lavage sent for examination, the allegation of the complainant being that the petitioner had administered poison in the food which she and her father-in-law and other members of the family ate. He further submits fact that as a matter of fact the petitioners' husband (brother-in-law of the complainant) developed illicit relations with the sister of the petitioner and is in fact stated to have subsequently married to her, due to which a story was concocted as stated in the FIR.

In the aforesaid circumstances, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, the comments made by this Court aforesaid would be taken only to have been made in the context of the present bail application.

January 12, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO