

Saudagar Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Barjesh K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that although FIR No. 35 dated 02.03.2018 has been registered under Sections 365, 384, 342, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Barnala, District Barnala, the accused persons are threatening the petitioner and, thus, he seeks protection of his life and liberty. Despite representation dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), having been submitted before respondent No. 2, the police has failed to give any protection.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 4 to 8.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 16, 2018

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]****JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**