

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50408 of 2018.

Decided on:- November 16, 2018.

Anshika Singh and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Ms. Nimarta Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 and 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation to the Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana, however, the said representation has not been annexed in this petition.

In view of the above, without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that in case such like representation has been submitted by the petitioners and they satisfy the Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana that they are residing within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court, the concerned Superintendent of Police shall take note of the same and take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the said representation.

November 16, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No