

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-49381 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.5.2018**

Ashish

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Naval Singh.

Mr. Raja Bahadur Singh Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 656 dated 6.10.2017, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 354-A, 377, 323, 325, 341, 511 IPC (later on Sections 341, 354-A, 377 and 511 IPC were deleted), Police Station City Hansi, Police District Hansi, Haryana.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 7.3.2018, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 states that gold chain has not been returned.

State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation. She further states that all the recovery has been effected but

gold chain was not recovered.

There is a dispute regarding the gold chain. The petitioner would deposit Rs. 25,000/- before the trial Court which can be released to the complainant.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 7.3.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

May 03, 2018

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No