

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49376 of 2017
Date of Decision: 21.03.2018

Ramesh Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

None for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in Compliant No.5980 dated 01.07.2015 under Sections 138, 142 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1887 pending the Court of Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance of order dated 11.01.2018 the petitioner has already joined the proceedings before learned trial Court on 19.01.2018 and has also deposited Rs.10,000/- as costs. It is further submitted that the receipt of the amount deposited with learned trial Court is not available with him today.

The above factual position with regard to the joining of

investigation by the petitioner is not disputed by learned Counsel for U.T. Chandigarh.

In view of the above, order dated 11.01.2018 is made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall co-operate with the trial and will not delay the proceedings in future.

It is made clear that in case there is a failure of deposit of the abovesaid amount of Rs.10,000/-, as submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is at liberty to approach this Court for revival of the present petition.

Petition stands disposed off.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

March 21, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no