

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 50211 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 21.11.2018**

**Sikander Masih**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 44 dated 28.06.2018, registered under Sections 376, 363, 366-A, 120-B, 148, 149 of IPC and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, Police Station Sekhwan, Tehsil Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and Jagir Masih had named him in the supplementary statement.

State counsel informs that in the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the prosecutrix had alleged that the petitioner had helped them board the train.

The couple had approached the High Court for protection. Copy of the order is available. The investigation is over, challan has been

presented. The trial will take long time to conclude. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

21.11.2018  
sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No