

290

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49265-2017
Date of Decision: 03.04.2018**

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Rajbir S. Attri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.132, dated 08.08.2015, under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC (Challan presented under Sections 341, 324, 325, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC), registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri, vide report dated 14.03.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It further transpires from the

report that initially, FIR was registered against Karmanpreet Singh, Pardeep Singh, Amrik Singh, Sandeep Singh and Jasman Singh. However, Jasman Singh was juvenile and separate proceedings were initiated against him before the Juvenile Justice Board, Sangrur and Amrik Singh was found innocent during investigation. The P.O. proceedings were initiated against the present petitioner, whereas Karmanpreet Singh and Pardeep Singh, who faced trial, already stand acquitted by the Trial Court.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.132, dated 08.08.2015, under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC (Challan presented under Sections 341, 324, 325, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC), registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

03.04.2018

Bhumika

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No