

264

SUNIL KUMAR AND ANOTHER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved and the matter has now been compromised.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 21.12.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court.

The report of ACJ(SD)-cum-SDJM, Bawal, dated 09.02.2018 has

been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved and the matter has now been compromised.

In view of the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.101 dated 03.04.2015, under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Kasola, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s).

16.05.2018

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No