

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

125

CRM No.M-49224 of 2017

Date of decision:16.2.2018

Dara Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate,
for the petitioners
Mr.Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana
Mr.Harsh Bhardwaj, Advocate, for
Mr.Ketan Antil, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 to 4

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 22.12.2017, the report of the learned SDJM, Bawal, has been received, stating therein that the complainant and the accused persons appeared before that Court and got their statements regarding the compromise effected between them recorded, with the parties duly identified by their counsel, as also with identity proofs filed.

The statement (in Hindi) of the complainant, Jai Narain (respondent no.2), has been annexed with the report, stating therein that 'they have reached a compromise' as regards the dispute and that he has no wish to pursue criminal proceedings.

Though the statements of respondents no.3 and 4, i.e. Deena Ram and Mahipal, are not shown to have been recorded before the learned Magistrate, however their affidavits are on record along with that of respondent no.2, all collectively as Annexure P-3.

As per the said affidavits, they too have compromised the matter with the petitioners as they all belong to the same family/clan and as such they do not wish to pursue criminal proceedings.

A power of attorney in favour of Shri Ketan Antil, Advocate, for appearing on behalf of respondents no.2 to 4, is also on record.

That being so, looking at the nature of allegations in the FIR, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, I see no purpose in continuing with criminal proceedings against the petitioners.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No.182 dated 7.9.2013, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 323/325/34 IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

16.2.2018
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No