

CRM-M-50086-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50086-2018

Date of Decision: 15.12.2018

Gaurav Chopla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Sections 511 and 120-B of IPC have been added later on and, therefore, he orally prays that both these sections may be added in the head-note and prayer clause of the main petition.

Heard.

In view of the oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, Sections 511 and 120-B of IPC be added in the head-note and prayer clause of the main petition. Registry is directed to make necessary additions in the head-note and prayer clause of the petition.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.137 dated 20.12.2017, registered at Police Station Haryana, District

CRM-M-50086-2018

Hoshiarpur, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 511 and 120-B of IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been got registered by the Manager of Punjab National Bank, Hoshiarpur. As per the allegations, a cheque amounting to ₹5 crores was presented by Inderjit Singh. However, on suspicion, it was got verified and it was found that no such cheque was issued by Upper Zila Adhikari (Land & Acquisition), Noida. The allegation against the present petitioner is that he has filled the name of Inderjit Singh in the cheque.

The petitioner has been in custody since 11.09.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the

CRM-M-50086-2018

trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

15.12.2018

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No