SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2018.09.18 15:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-49148 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.9.2018

Baldev Singh ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate,
for petitioner.
Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.
Respondents No. 2 and 3 in person.
Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4.
Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate, for,
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed. Reply on behalf of respondents No. 5 and 6 has also been filed in Court today and same is taken on record. In the reply of DDPO, Ludhiana (respondent No. 3), it is stated that there is no misappropriation of panchayat funds. Regarding demarcation, State has taken the stand that they will get the demarcation conducted.

It being so, there is no ground to exercise power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by this Court for directing action against private respondents No. 4 to 6.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.9.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No