

**231-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50002-2018

Date of decision: November 28, 2018

Deepak Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. V.B. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to petitioner-Deepak Kumar in case FIR No.559 dated 01.07.2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 506, 34, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, "IPC") and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal.

Heard.

Keeping in view the injuries suffered by the victim, this court is of the view that an interim compensation be awarded to him.

In this view of the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerably long time, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to payment of Rs.25,000/- by way of bank draft in the name of the complainant and the said amount shall be disbursed to the victim subject to the conditions that if the petitioner is acquitted or any court directs the victim to return this amount, he shall return the same and on his failure to do

so, the surety shall be liable and also on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial court / Chief Judicial Magistrate / Duty Magistrate subject to the following terms:-

- (a) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- (b) In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh.
- (c) He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the court.

However, the said order shall be without prejudice to the rights of the parties.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

November 28, 2018
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No