

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49086-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.08.2018

SUKHCHAIN SINGH

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Poonam Tara Prasher, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sukhdeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)

State counsel informs that Sections 498-A and 406 IPC have also been added later on.

On the oral request made by the counsel for the petitioner Sections 498-A and 406 IPC are added in the head note and prayer clause of the petition. Registry to make necessary corrections.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter was referred for mediation and the complainant did not come but thereafter with the intervention of the family, a compromise was effected, which was reduced into writing. Counsel says that she has a copy of the compromise. Counsel says that a petition for quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise has been filed.

The factum of compromise is not disputed by the complainant

side. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 30.01.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 14, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No