

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48951-2017

Date of Decision:15.01.2018

Dilbagh Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.G.S.Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner is correct to the extent that before cancelling the bail bonds and surety bonds already furnished by the petitioner, pursuant to him having been enlarged on bail on 07.09.2017, by the learned JMIC, he should have been issued a notice. However, considering the fact that, in my opinion, the bail bonds still would have been cancelled on account of what has been stated in the orders of that Court dated 08.09.2017, (cancelling the bail bonds and surety bonds), to the effect that the petitioner did not disclose that earlier a bail application filed by him under Section 438 Cr.P.C. had been dismissed by this Court on 31.05.2017, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail at this stage atleast.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage wishes to withdraw his bail application.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage, accordingly.

January 15, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**