

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

**CRM-M-48860-2017 (O&M)
Decided on : 14.08.2018**

Raghubir Chand Jain and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. ..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr.Aditya Sanghi Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.61 dated 02.06.2016 under Sections 420/406/506/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sunam Punjab (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the matter has now been resolved and sought for quashing of FIR in view of compromise.

3. This Court by the order dated 21.12.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Sub-Divisional, Judicial Magistrate Sunam has sent her report dated 29.01.2018 wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded and compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

4. In view of the law laid down in “*Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab*” 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.61 dated 02.06.2016 under Sections 420/406/506/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sunam Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed against the petitioners subject to payment of litigation cost of Rs.3000/- by each of the petitioner to State of Punjab. Cost shall be remitted in the Treasury, State of Punjab within a period of 3 months from today.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

14.08.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No