

MAMTA
2018.11.19 17:16
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.49757of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:..19.11.2018**

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 191 dated 07.09.20218, registered under Sections 307/323/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code and Under Section 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar.

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that since the matter has been compromised between the parties and the learned trial Court while declining the bail has taken note of the same but despite that bail was rejected.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Jagdish Singh, has not disputed the factum of compromise but he opposed the bail application on the premise that trial is going on and the petitioner may hamper the same.

CRM-M No.49757 of 2018 (O&M)

Heard both sides and perused the paper book.

Custody certificate of the petitioner produced by learned State counsel in Court today is taken on record and perusal of the same reveals that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner except present one. Since the matter has been compromised, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

No doubt that the trial will take its own course but that is not a sufficient ground to decline the bail pending trial.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and petitioner-Sandeep Singh is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court / Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of case.

19.11.2018
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>