

Sr. No.210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-48830 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.02.2018

Vishnu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Nihul Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre arrest bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.195 dated 03.10.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 379, 506 IPC (later on Sections 307, 34 IPC added and Sections 148, 149, 379-A IPC deleted), registered at Police Station Chhainsa, District Faridabad (Haryana).

On 20.12.2017, the following order was passed by this

Court:-

***“The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in
FIR No.195 dated 03.10.2017 under Sections
148/149/323/341/379/ 506 IPC (Sections 307/34 IPC
added and Sections 148/149/379- A IPC deleted later
on) registered at Police Station Chhainsa, District***

Faridabad, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have given blows on the injured with lathies. He, however, contends that the matter has been compromised. Learned counsel for the complainant also states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

Issue notice to respondent No.1, returnable on 21.02.2018.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

In the event of their arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioners on ad-interim bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Hoshier Singh, Police Station Chhainsa, District Faridabad informs the Court that the petitioners have since joined investigation. State counsel further submits that both the complainant and accused party have entered into a compromise and an affidavit to such effect has been furnished to the Investigating Officer.

Even counsel representing complainant, namely, Manoj as also injured i.e. Sandeep concedes to the factum of the compromise.

In view of the above, prayer made in the petition is

accepted.

Order dated 20.12.2017 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

21.02.2018
vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No