

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.48799 of 2017
Date of Decision : 11.01.2018**

Vikram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Perused Case Diary.

Admittedly, name of the petitioner was not disclosed by
the victim on whose statement the FIR was lodged.

Petitioner's name has however been revealed by witness
Dalbir who reached the spot when the occurrence was allegedly
still going on although the assailants managed to flee away. There
is no doubt that the victim would have been in a very bad physical
and mental condition after having been subjected to brutal
assailants by blunt weapons, which ultimately resulted in his death
within two days.

In the circumstances, his bald statement needs not to be treated as a complete narration of all the relevant facts and circumstances.

As such in view of the available material coming-forth against the present petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant him the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

January 11, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No