

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48793-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 03, 2018.**

RAM KUMAR

..... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.33 dated 19.06.2017 under Sections 323/376/406/498-A/34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Woman, Sonipat.

It is submitted that the matter has been amicably resolved between the petitioner's brother and the complainant-wife (respondent No. 2) and they have decided to part ways. Petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has since been filed by the petitioner's brother and the complainant.

CRM-M-18434-2018 has been filed for quashing of the above said FIR on the

basis of compromise. The same is listed for 21.08.2018. The petitioner, his brother and the other accused undertake to adhere to the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Saroj, verifies the factum of settlement between the parties. He also verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed.

In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so. Petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

03.05.2018
jyoti-3

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No