

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49706-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.11.2018

Kapil Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate for complainant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for complainant has filed the vakalatnama in Court today. The same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Nihal Singh, contends that petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation and that State has no objection to the grant of anticipatory bail.

However, petition has been seriously and strongly opposed by learned counsel for complainant. He has referred to the allegations in the FIR and the suicide note (Annexure P-1) and has sated that Kapil Sharma is one of the person who is running Lala Chat Bhandar and is the main abettor.

I have gone through the suicide note where the petitioner is not specifically named. The merits of the case are yet to be examined.

Learned counsel for the complainant has further argued that the complainant-party is being threatened for which they have filed an application before the police. If it is so, police can always take corrective measure.

However, since the petitioner is not required for further investigation by the police, interim order dated 14.11.2018 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.11.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No