

264/B

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48764-2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.02.2018**

Sheo Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present:- Ms.Savita Rana, Advocate for  
Mr. Rishi Pal Rana, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact during the pendency of this petition the dispute has been settled between the petitioner and the complainant, i.e. Vikram Singh, which learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute.

In view of the above, the order dated 20.12.2017, admitting the petitioner to interim bail is made absolute.

Disposed of.

**February 21 , 2018**

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO  
Whether Reportable : YES/NO