

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49548-2018
Date of decision: 20.12.2018**

Kamaljit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J. S. Lalli, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 299 dated 17.10.2018, registered under Sections 381 and 34 of the IPC at Police Station Model Town, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 06.11.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner was working as an employee with the complainant and on 06.10.2018, when the petitioner was going to leave the shop, wife of the complainant searched her and 05 ladies suits were recovered, which she has concealed in her garments. It is further stated in the FIR that later on, the complainant sent one of his employee to the house of the petitioner, where 60 more suits were recovered. Learned counsel further submits that there is a dispute

regarding payment of emoluments and present FIR has been registered as a counter-blast to the same. Notice of motion for 13.12.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 06.11.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sudarshan Kumar, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 06.11.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 20, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No