

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 7270 of 2016

Date of Order: 15.01.2018

Mayank Agarwal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner having been admitted to interim bail vide an order dated 10.3.2016 of this Court, thereafter the State has also filed a complaint before the Competent Court, which is therefore now seized of the same.

Learned State counsel, on query, submits that along with the complaint, even a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been filed, in terms of the directions given by a Division Bench of this Court in the petition referred to it on the question of whether, under the Pre-conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994, an FIR is registrable or not.

That being so, as regards granting bail to the petitioner on the basis of the FIR registered, this petition has been rendered

infructuous and is disposed of as such. As regards whether the petitioner is to be granted bail or not after the complaint has been filed by the State, that would be considered by the competent Court on an application being made by the petitioner before that Court. However, in the meanwhile, the petitioner already being on bail, would continue to remain so till such application is made to that Court, which shall be done within one week, on the same bail and surety bonds already furnished.

January 15, 2018
manoj

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No