

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.4945 of 2018
Date of Decision: 06.02.2018

Gurmukh Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Grievance of the petitioner is that about 48 years back, Gram Panchayat Bulanda had allotted a plot to the father of the petitioner. Father of respondent No.4 was also allotted a plot by the Gram Panchayat. Petitioner had also raised boundary wall.

Earlier Mehnga Singh had tried to take forcible possession of the plot of the petitioner for which a complaint was moved by mother of the petitioner in the year 1991 which was ultimately compromised. Thereafter, respondent No.4 has tried to take forcible possession of the plot in question. Even respondent No.4 has filed a civil suit which was dismissed on 14.10.2016 and appeal to that effect was also dismissed by the

lower Appellate Court on 21.08.2017, still respondent No.4 is threatening the petitioner of dire consequences.

Petitioner has already moved a representation to respondent No.2 on 01.01.2018 which has not been considered so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law.

06.02.2018

kyoti

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No