

CRM-M-49383-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49383-2018

Date of Decision: 16.11.2018

Sajid

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.533 dated 17.09.2017, registered at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal, under Sections 323, 341, 379-A, 506 and 34 of IPC (Section 379-A of IPC has been deleted and Section 379-B of IPC has been added later on).

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-49383-2018

Though the present petitioner alongwith co-accused is named in the FIR, yet learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that compromise was effected between the petitioner and complainant regarding money transaction prior to two months of registration of the present FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also brought to the notice of this Court that co-accused (Rahul Sharma) had filed an application dated 04.09.2017 regarding money dispute between the accused party and complainant about 12-13 days prior to the registration of the present FIR.

The petitioner has been in custody since 17.08.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

16.11.2018

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

(INDERJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No