

**CRM-M-49358-2018**

SUNIL  
2018.11.16 16:35  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document  
**-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49358-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 16.11.2018**

**RAJ KUMAR**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.**

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 39 dated 16.02.2018, registered under Sections 363, 366-A IPC and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonipat.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 16.02.2018 and seven witnesses have been examined which includes the prosecutrix and the complainant and in the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. the prosecutrix had made a statement in favour of the petitioner.

State counsel informs that the prosecutrix had made the statement against the petitioner at the trial.

The petitioner is in custody for over 8 months. The statement of the complainant and the prosecutrix have already been recorded. The trial would take time. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

16.11.2018  
sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No