

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-49335 of 2018

Date of Decision: November 12, 2018

Baljit Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navjinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners allege that they are being harassed by respondent No. 4 at the behest of respondent No. 5 (daughter-in-law). Respondent No. 5 had also made a complaint dated 09.07.2018 but the same was found to be false by police vide report dated 02.08.2018. Yet another complaint has been filed by respondent No. 5 on account of which respondent No. 4 is harassing the petitioners. A representation dated 18.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. A.S. Gill, AAG Punjab, notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 18.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary,

within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 12, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No