

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48360-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.08.2018**

Navjot Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the amount of Rs.25,000/- has not been deposited which was ordered on 18.12.2017.

Registry has reported that the amount has been deposited by the petitioner.

There was an order for handing over the same amount to the complainant. Registry should have released that amount to the complainant. There is a dispute with respect to the gold items and the CD which was placed on record on the last date of hearing i.e. 10.05.2018. The complainant had taken back all the gold items and the contents of the CD were not disclosed. The complainant will have to prove that and give her statement with regard to the items which she has recovered.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 18.12.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

14.08.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No