

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-48302-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 21, 2018

Mandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh.
Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate for the complainant.

Rajan Gupta, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections under Sections 420 IPC, at Police Station Industrial Area, Chandigarh, vide FIR No.204 dated 20.07.2017.

FIR was registered on the complaint made by Gurjeet Singh son of Gurnam Singh, with the allegations that petitioner and co-accused Angrej Singh, assured complainant to arrange business sponsorship for him through his relative in USA and for this purpose they demanded Rs.20.00 lacs. On 21.6.2016, co-accused Angrej Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Dev Heer visited the office of the complainant at Chandigarh, where complainant paid Rs.25.00 lacs to them (Rs.15.00 lacs for Gurjeet and Rs.10.00 lacs for Pankaj), in order to arrange business sponsorship for them from USA. Petitioner has wrongly been shown as wife of co-accused Angrej Singh,

whereas there is no such relationship between the two. Reply by way of affidavit of Inspector ZP Khan, SHO, Police Station Industrial Area, Chandigarh has been filed in court. Same is taken on record. Para 5 thereof reads as under:-

5. That during investigation statement of Naresh Nanda was recorded and as per his statement it was the petitioner along with Angrez Singh who had allured the complainant to give them Rs.40 lacs for arranging business sponsorship through her relatives through her relatives. As per the arrangement 15 lacs each was to be given to her in advance for arranging sponsorship from her relatives in America for the complainant and his friend Pankaj Kumar and Rs.5 lacs each after getting the Visa. That thereafter Naresh Nanda told the complainant that even he has given money Rs.15 lacs to the petitioner for arranging sponsorship for wife of Naresh Nanda for England. He further stated that the petitioner did not send his wife or the complainants abroad.”

Allegation against petitioner is that she induced the complainant to part with huge money. Neither complainant Gurjeet nor Pankaj were sent abroad nor the amount was returned. In my considered view, petitioner is not entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail. The petition is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 21, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No