

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-49043-2018

Date of Decision: November 02, 2018

Pritpal and others

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi , Advocate for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that FIR was registered on 11.06.2016. Respondent No.3 sought anticipatory bail but the same was declined. Respondent No.4 was arrested but was granted regular bail. He has jumped bail. Challan has been presented but no action is being taken by the Police for getting respondents No.3 and 4 declared as proclaimed offenders.

In this case, challan already stands presented and thus, the trial Court is seized of the matter. The petitioners can always approach the trial Court for redressal of their grievances. There is no requirement for this Court to interfere in the exercise of jurisdiction, under section 482 Cr.P.C.

With the aforementioned observations, the petition is disposed of.

November 02, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No