

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49010 of 2018

DECIDED ON: November 02, 2018

MADHU GUPTA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter carefully and take action against respondents No.3 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the purpose would be achieved if respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, is directed to look into representation/complaint dated 27.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner, in a time-bound manner.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, but without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to look into the representation/complaint of the petitioner dated 27.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) and do the needful on merit.

November 02, 2018

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAMENDRA JAIN)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No