

287

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.48062 of 2017

Date of Decision: 23.01.2018

**Rupinder Singh @ Kaka
Vs**

.....Petitioner

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 15.12.2017, following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.231 dated 29.11.2017 under Sections 186/353/504 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Ambala, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the incident is stated to have taken place on 07.10.2017, but the FIR has been registered after over a month on 29.11.2017. The allegations against the petitioner are that he was found committing theft of electricity by putting a direct cable to his house in the village and had misbehaved with the officials of electricity department. He, however, contends that the relevant Sections under the Electricity Act, pertaining to theft, are not mentioned in the FIR and the allegations are primarily about the petitioner's misbehaviour

with the electricity officials. He further contends that there is no allegation that the petitioner had caused any physical harm to the officials of electricity department.

Issue notice to the respondent, returnable on 23.01.2018.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

In the event of arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on ad-interim bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Allegations are in respect of offences under Sections 186/353/504 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that petitioner has joined the investigation.

This fact has not been disputed by learned State Counsel on instructions from SI Surender Kumar and stated that petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of above, order dated 15.12.2017 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

January 23, 2018

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No