

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Revision No.51 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.02.2018

Ali Mohammad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

As already noticed in the order of this Court dated 20.02.2018, initially notice had been issued in this revision petition on 18.05.2012 only on the quantum of sentence of imprisonment imposed upon the petitioner. Thereafter, on the next date of hearing, the petition had been admitted to regular hearing, with the sentence of the petitioner suspended during the pendency of the revision petition.

Today, Mr. Lamba, learned counsel for the petitioner, has initially at the outset stated that he would be confining his arguments only on the quantum of sentence.

However, looking at the fact that two lives were lost in the accident, I am not inclined to reduce the sentence of 2 years imposed by the trial court, upheld by the learned appellate court. Mr. Lamba very fairly submits that he would not be able to really distinguish the judgments of the Courts below on merits, especially as a coordinate Bench had already

applied its mind earlier also and not found the petition entertainable on merits.

Consequently, finding no merit in this revision petition, it is dismissed.

The petitioner is ordered to be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining part of his sentence.

22.02.2018
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No